

Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

Chapter Title	Kostenki: Geography and Culture	
Copyright Year	2013	
Copyright Holder	Springer Science+Business Media New York	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Hoffecker
	Particle	
	Given Name	John F.
	Suffix	
	Division/Department	Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research
	Organization/University	University of Colorado Boulder
	City	Boulder
	State	CO
	Country	USA
	Email	John.Hoffecker@colorado.edu
	Email	john.hoffecker@colorado.edu
	Author	Family Name
Particle		
Given Name		M. V.
Suffix		
Division/Department		Institute of the History of Material Culture
Organization/University		Russian Academy of Sciences
City		St Petersburg
Country		Russia
Email		niplaton@gmail.com

K

2 **Kostenki: Geography and Culture**

3 John F. Hoffecker¹ and M. V. Anikovich²

4 ¹Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research,
5 University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder,
6 CO, USA

7 ²Institute of the History of Material Culture,
8 Russian Academy of Sciences, St Petersburg,
9 Russia

occupation was discovered at Kostenki, adding 32
another important dimension to these sites. The 33
recent discovery that several sites contain occu- 34
pations that underlie a 40,000-year-old volcanic 35
ash provided evidence of the earliest known 36
Upper Paleolithic remains in Eastern Europe. 37
Field research continues today at Kostenki and 38
Borshchevo, and the results continue to have an 39
impact on world archaeology. 40

10 **Introduction**

11 *Kostenki* is the name of a village on the Don River
12 in the Russian Federation where more than
13 twenty open-air Paleolithic sites are known. Sev-
14 eral more sites are found at the village of
15 *Borshchevo*, which is located about 5 km down-
16 stream from Kostenki. The sites are assigned to
17 the Upper Paleolithic and yield skeletal remains
18 of modern humans (*Homo sapiens*). Artifacts
19 were found in association with the remains of
20 extinct mammals at Kostenki in 1879 and were
21 among the first discoveries of IceAge people in
22 Eastern Europe. By the 1930s, the sites at
23 Kostenki and Borshchevo had produced a rich
24 record of middle and late Upper Paleolithic occu-
25 pation, including large feature complexes with
26 traces of suspected dwelling structures. The exca-
27 vation and study of the occupation floors had
28 a significant impact on theory and method in
29 archaeology during the Soviet period. In the
30 years following the Second World War, substan-
31 tial evidence of early Upper Paleolithic

Definition

41

Kostenki is located on the Middle Don River near 42
the city of Voronezh in the Russian Federation at 43
51° 40' North and 39° 10' East. The village lies on 44
the west bank of the river and the eastern margin 45
of the Central Russian Upland at an elevation of 46
approximately 125 m above mean sea level. The 47
village of Borshchevo is situated several kilome- 48
ters southeast of Kostenki. The area is within the 49
modern forest-steppe zone and experiences 50
a continental climate with mean July and January 51
temperatures of 19 °C and -8 °C, respectively. 52
Precipitation averages 520 mm per year. 53

A total of 21 stratified Upper Paleolithic open- 54
air sites have been investigated at Kostenki, and 55
five or more sites have been discovered at 56
Borshchevo. Although several sites are found in 57
the main valley, most are situated at the mouths 58
or in the upper courses of large side-valley 59
ravines that are incised into the high west bank 60
of the Don River. Springs are active today in the 61
ravines, and primary carbonate deposits in the 62

63 sites indicate that they were active during Upper
64 Paleolithic times as well (Holliday et al. 2007:
65 217–219). The sites are found primarily on the
66 first (10–15 m) and second (15–20 m) terrace
67 levels (Lazukov 1982: 21–35).

68 Mammoth bones were known from Kostenki
69 centuries ago and evidently account for the name
70 of the village (*kost'* is the Russian word for bone),
71 but archaeological remains were first discovered
72 in 1879 (Klein 1969: 29). Major excavations
73 began in the 1920s and 1930s, and these were
74 focused primarily on middle and late Upper
75 Paleolithic occupations (especially the large
76 Eastern Gravettian component in Layer I at
77 Kostenki 1 (Efimenko 1958)). Early Upper Paleo-
78 lithic remains were investigated in the lower
79 layers at Kostenki 1 and other localities prior to
80 World War II (e.g., Kostenki 6), but most
81 research on the early occupations was initiated
82 by A. N. Rogachev in the late 1940s (Rogachev
83 1957; Klein, 1969: 231–232).

84 The high west bank of the Don Valley, which
85 represents the eastern margin of the Central Rus-
86 sian Upland, is composed of Cretaceous marl
87 (chalk) and sand (Lazukov 1982: 15–17). Upper
88 Paleolithic sites are buried in fill deposits of the
89 first and second terraces of the Don River. The
90 terraces are found in both the main valley and in
91 portions of the large side-valley ravines incised
92 into the west bank of the valley. The terraces are
93 composed of alluvium, which unconformably
94 overlies the pre-Quaternary units, capped with
95 a complex sequence of eolian, slope, and spring
96 deposits (Lazukov 1982: 15–22; Holliday et al.
97 2007: 182–184).

98 The uppermost alluvium is interstratified with
99 coarse slope deposits derived from the Creta-
100 ceous bedrock (Lazukov 1982: 21). Above these
101 deposits lies a sequence of alternating thin lenses
102 of silt, carbonate, chalk fragments, and organic-
103 rich loam (Holliday et al. 2007: 184–186). At
104 many localities, they are subdivided by the vol-
105 canic tephra horizon, which has been identified as
106 the *Campanian Ignimbrite* (CI) Y5 tephra,
107 derived from an eruption in southern Italy and
108 dated to ca. 40,000 cal BP (Pyle et al. 2006;
109 Anikovich et al. 2007). Traditionally, the lenses
110 below and above the tephra have been termed the

111 *lower humic bed* and *upper humic bed*, respec-
112 tively (Rogachev 1957; Klein 1969). The humic
113 beds apparently represent a complex interplay of
114 colluviation, spring deposition, and soil forma-
115 tion (Holliday et al. 2007). At some sites, more
116 typical soil profiles developed, including
117 a weakly developed soil (*Gmelin soil*) that
118 formed during the early stages of the LGM
119 (Last Glacial Maximum) and dates to ca.
120 26,000–25,000 cal BP. Above the Gmelin soil
121 lies loess-like loam of LGM age, which is capped
122 with the modern chernozem (Lazukov 1982;
123 Holliday et al. 2007: 219).

124 Key Issues/Current Debates/Future 125 Directions/Examples

126 The earliest occupation levels at Kostenki and
127 Borshevo underlie the CI Y5 tephra and date
128 to 42,000–41,000 cal BP or older (Anikovich
129 et al. 2007; Hoffecker et al. 2008). There is con-
130 tinuing debate and discussion about the age and
131 cultural affiliation of these occupation levels. In
132 the early 1950s, P. I. Boriskovskii (1963) exca-
133 vated a level below the tephra at Kostenki 17
134 (Layer II), which yielded burins, large retouched
135 blades, end scrapers, and microblades. Other
136 items included bone awls and point fragments
137 and various ornaments. A similar assemblage
138 was recovered from below the tephra level at
139 Kostenki 12 (Layer II). These assemblages have
140 traditionally been labeled as a local early Upper
141 Paleolithic industry without clear links to others
142 in Western or Eastern Europe (*Spitsyn culture*).

143 A somewhat different assemblage has been
144 found below the CI tephra in the lowest level
145 (Layer IVb) at Kostenki 14 containing bladelets,
146 burins, end scrapers, and several bifaces; non-stone
147 artifacts include antler mattocks, bone points,
148 perforated shells, and a carved ivory piece that
149 may represent the head and neck of a (unfinished)
150 human figurine (Hoffecker et al. 2008).

151 Several strikingly different assemblages have
152 been excavated from below the tephra at
153 Kostenki 6, Kostenki 12 (Layer III), and other
154 sites. These occupations contain end scrapers and
155 Middle Paleolithic flake tool types, such as

156 sidescrapers, small bifaces, and triangular points;
157 non-stone tools, ornaments, and art are totally
158 absent (Rogachev 1957; Praslov & Rogachev
159 1982; Anikovich et al. 2008). Traditionally, they
160 have been assigned to an East European industry
161 known as the *Strelets culture* (Anikovich et al.
162 2007: 236–240). An alternative view is that these
163 assemblages, which are often associated with
164 evidence for killing and butchering large mam-
165 mals (primarily horse, mammoth, and reindeer),
166 represent a functional subset of the other industry
167 (i.e., kill-butchery tools and weapons) (Hoffecker
168 et al. 2010).

169 Human skeletal remains in these layers are
170 confined to a third molar from Kostenki 17,
171 Layer II and the crown of a deciduous tooth
172 from Kostenki 14, Layer IVb. Both are tenta-
173 tively assigned to modern humans, which are
174 widely assumed to have produced all of the arti-
175 facts below the CI tephra at Kostenki and
176 Borshchevo (Gerasimova et al. 2007).

177 Less controversy surrounds the classification
178 of assemblages that lie above the CI tephra, but
179 below loess-like loams deposited during the
180 LGM, and date to the later phases of the early
181 Upper Paleolithic. At Kostenki 1, Layer III con-
182 tains an artifact assemblage widely classified as
183 *Aurignacian* and comprising large blades with
184 scalar retouch, carinate scrapers, backed
185 bladelets, and other diagnostic items (Anikovich
186 et al. 2007: 228–233; Anikovich et al. 2008). An
187 older Aurignacian assemblage is associated with
188 the tephra layer at Kostenki 14 (Sinitsyn 2003).

189 Another group of artifact assemblages dating
190 to this interval contains a high proportion of end
191 scrapers, as well as typical Middle Paleolithic
192 forms (e.g., sidescrapers, points), and a varied
193 assortment of bone artifacts. Among the bone
194 artifacts are diagnostic “shovels” and the oldest
195 known eyed needles. These assemblages are
196 found in the upper portion of the upper humic
197 bed at Kostenki 14 (Layer II) and the lower por-
198 tion of the upper humic bed at Kostenki 15
199 (Praslov & Rogachev 1982); both are associated
200 with evidence for the killing and butchering of
201 a group of horses (*Equus latipes*) (Hoffecker et al.
202 2010). A similar assemblage is thought to be
203 deposited with the Streletskaya assemblage in

204 Layer I at Kostenki 12, and the assemblage in
205 the lower part of the upper humic bed at Kostenki
206 14 (Layer III) is sometimes considered part of this
207 group (Praslov & Rogachev 1982). These assem-
208 blages are assigned to the *Gorodtsov culture*
209 (Efimenko 1958; Rogachev 1957), which is
210 recognized at several other East European sites
211 (e.g., Mira in the Dnepr Valley) but unknown
212 in Western and Central Europe (Anikovich
213 et al. 2007: 248–265).

214 Skeletal remains assigned to modern humans
215 are associated with these assemblages at
216 Kostenki 15, which yielded the partial skeleton
217 of a child in a burial pit, and at Kostenki 12, Layer
218 I (Gerasimova et al. 2007: 102–105). A complete
219 modern human skeleton also was excavated from
220 a burial pit in Layer III at Kostenki 14 (Rogachev
221 1957); although mid-Holocene dates on the
222 human bone were reported several years ago,
223 the most recent date is more than 30,000 cal BP
224 and consistent with the stratigraphic context of
225 the upper humic bed. Analysis of ancient DNA
226 from this skeleton indicates that it belongs to
227 mtDNA haplogroup U2 (Krause et al. 2010).

228 At Kostenki 11 (Layer V) and Kostenki 12
229 (Layer Ia), the lower upper humic bed contains
230 assemblages with diagnostic triangular bifacial
231 points, typical Middle Paleolithic artifact forms
232 (points and sidescrapers), and also some end
233 scrapers and burins; non-stone artifacts are
234 absent. Similar artifacts are found in the upper
235 portion of the upper humic bed at Kostenki 12
236 (Layer I). Traditionally, these assemblages have
237 been assigned to a younger phase of the *Strelets*
238 *culture* (Anikovich et al. 2007: 236–248;
239 Anikovich et al. 2008); they also have been
240 interpreted as functional variants related to large
241 mammal kill-butchery (Hoffecker et al. 2010).

242 Yet another industry is represented in the
243 upper portion of the upper humic bed at Kostenki
244 8 (Layer II). This assemblage is dominated by
245 backed bladelets and points and is widely
246 considered an early form of the *Gravettian*
247 *technocomplex*, other sites of which are common
248 above the upper humic bed and its stratigraphic
249 equivalents in Eastern Europe (Anikovich et al.
250 2007: 233–236; Anikovich et al. 2008).

251 Associated human remains at Kostenki 8 include
 252 skull fragments (Gerasimova et al. 2007: 90–91).

253 The archaeological remains for which
 254 Kostenki is most famous are those of the Eastern
 255 Gravettian (middle Upper Paleolithic) dating to
 256 the early phase of the LGM (~25,000 cal BP).
 257 They include, most notably, the large feature
 258 complexes at Kostenki 1, Layer I, and associated
 259 remains at the nearby localities of Kostenki 13
 260 and 18 on the north side of the mouth of
 261 Pokrovskii Ravine (Efimenko 1958; Praslov &
 262 Rogachev 1982; Anikovich et al. 2008). The fea-
 263 ture complexes comprise a linear arrangement of
 264 hearths surrounded by pits of varying size that
 265 contain large mammal bones and artifacts. Diag-
 266 nostic artifacts include “Kostenki points,”
 267 “Kostenki knives,” and examples of “Venus fig-
 268 urines” carved in ivory and marl. The assem-
 269 blages also contain burins, end scrapers,
 270 microblades, and a variety of bone and ivory
 271 implements. As in Central Europe, there is evi-
 272 dence for Gravettian fired ceramic technology
 273 (Praslov & Rogachev 1982). The assemblages
 274 are similar to those of comparable age (and asso-
 275 ciated with similar feature complexes) at
 276 Avdeevo and Zaraisk, and sometimes placed in
 277 a local *Kostenki culture* within the broader East-
 278 ern Gravettian entity (Anikovich et al. 2008).

279 Faunal remains associated with the Eastern
 280 Gravettian occupations at Kostenki are domi-
 281 nated by mammoth and smaller fur-bearing mam-
 282 mals (wolf, fox, and hare). Many of the mammoth
 283 bones and tusks are weathered, and these may
 284 have been collected from natural occurrences
 285 for use in construction of dwellings and other
 286 structures or for raw material. Most of the fuel
 287 at these sites appears to have been bone (trees
 288 were either scarce or absent during this interval),
 289 and many of the remains of large mammals
 290 hunted by their occupants may have been con-
 291 sumed in the hearths. At least some of the pits
 292 may have been dug to the permafrost level during
 293 warmer months and used like Inuit “ice cellars”
 294 to keep the bone fresh and flammable.

295 There appears to be a hiatus in settlement at
 296 Kostenki during the cold peak of the LGM
 297 (roughly 23,000–22,000 cal BP). Later Upper

298 Paleolithic occupations include examples of
 299 oval mammoth bone houses similar to those of
 300 comparable age in the Dnepr-Desna Basin (e.g.,
 301 Mezhirich) at Kostenki 2, Kostenki 11 (Layer Ia),
 302 and probably Borshchevo 1 (on the first terrace
 303 level) (Praslov & Rogachev 1982). These occu-
 304 pation levels date to the interval following the
 305 LGM cold maximum, before the end of the Pleis-
 306 tocene (19,000–14,000 cal BP), as do the more
 307 widespread mammoth-bone houses in the Dnepr-
 308 Desna Basin, although several investigators
 309 believe that they are older (e.g., Lazukov 1982).

310 At Kostenki 11, the mammoth-bone structure
 311 has been left in situ on the excavated floor of
 312 Layer Ia; a portion of the exposed excavation is
 313 enclosed in a museum building at the south end of
 314 the village of Kostenki. The collapsed mammoth-
 315 bone structure measures 7–8 m in diameter and is
 316 composed primarily of mandibles, scapulae, pel-
 317 ves, and long bones. A minimum of 36 individual
 318 mammoths are represented. Around the former
 319 dwelling, to the north, west, and south, are large
 320 pits, filled chiefly with bone debris. At Kostenki
 321 2, a bone-lined pit burial is associated with the
 322 mammoth-bone dwelling structure (Praslov &
 323 Rogachev 1982; Anikovich et al. 2008).

324 **Cross-References**

- ▶ Europe, Early Upper Paleolithic in 325
- ▶ Europe, Prehistoric Art in 326
- ▶ European Middle-to-Upper Paleolithic
 Transitional Industries 327
- ▶ Geoarchaeology 328
- ▶ Holliday, Vance 329
- ▶ Homo Sapiens 330
- ▶ Human Evolution, Genetic Study of 331
- ▶ Human Remains in Museums 332
- ▶ Macphail, Richard 333
- ▶ Marxist Archaeology 334
- ▶ Paleolithic Art 335
- ▶ Paleolithic Bone Tools 336
- ▶ Russian Federation, Museums of the 337
- ▶ Site Formation Processes 338
- ▶ Social Archaeology 339

341 **References**

- 342 ANIKOVICH, M.V. et al. 2007. Early Upper Paleolithic in
343 eastern Europe and implications for the dispersal of
344 modern humans. *Science* 315: 223–226.
- 345 - 2008. *Paleolit Kostenkovsko-Borshchevskogo raiona*
346 *v kontekste verkhnego paleolita Evropy*. Saint
347 Petersburg: “Nestor-Istoriya.”
- 348 BORISKOVSKII, P.I. 1963. Ocherki po Paleolitu Basseina
349 Dona. *Materialy i Issledovaniya po Arkheologii SSSR*
350 121.
- 351 EFIMENKO, P. P. 1958. *Kostenki I*. Moscow: Nauka.
- 352 GERASIMOVA, M. M. et al. 2007. *Paleoliticheskii chelovek,*
353 *ego material'naya kul'tura i prirodnyaya sreda*
354 *obitaniya*. St-Peterburg: Nestor-Istoriya.
- 355 HOFFECKER, J. F. et al. 2008. From the Bay of Naples to the
356 River Don: the Campanian Ignimbrite eruption and the
357 Middle to Upper Paleolithic transition in eastern
358 Europe. *Journal of Human Evolution* 55: 858–870.
- 359 - 2010. Evidence for kill-butcher events of early Upper
360 Paleolithic age at Kostenki, Russia. *Journal of Archaeo-*
361 *logical Science* 37: 1073–1089.
- 362 HOLLIDAY, V. T. et al. 2007. Geoaerchaeology of the
363 Kostenki-Borshchevo sites, Don River, Russia.
364 *Geoaerchaeology* 22/2: 183–230.
- KLEIN, R. G. 1969. *Man and culture in the late Pleisto-*
365 *cene: a case study*. San Francisco: Chandler. 366
- KRAUSE, J. et al. 2010. A complete mtDNA genome of an
367 early modern human from Kostenki, Russia. *Current*
368 *Biology* 20(3): 231–236. 369
- LAZUKOV, G. I. 1982. Kharakteristika chetvertichnykh
370 otlozhenii raiona. in N.D. Praslov & A.N. Rogachev
371 (ed.) *Paleolit Kostenkovsko-Borshchevskogo raiona*
372 *na Donu 1879–1979*: 13–37 Leningrad: Nauka. 373
- PRASLOV, N. D. & A. N. ROGACHEV. (ed.) 1982. *Paleolit*
374 *Kostenkovsko-Borshchevskogo Raiona na Donu*
375 *1879–1979*. Leningrad: Nauka. 376
- PYLE, D. M. et al. 2006. Wide dispersal and deposition of
377 distal tephra during the Pleistocene ‘Campanian
378 Ignimbrite/Y5’ eruption, Italy. *Quaternary Science*
379 *Reviews* 25: 2713–2728. 380
- ROGACHEV, A. N. 1957. Mnogosloinye stoyanki
381 Kostenkovsko-Borshchevskogo raiona na Donu
382 i problema razvitiya kul'tury v epokhy verkhnego
383 paleolita na Russkoi Ravnine. *Materialy*
384 *i Issledovaniya po Arkheologii SSSR* 59: 9–134. 385
- SINITSYN, A. A. 2003. A Palaeolithic ‘Pompeii’ at
386 Kostenki, Russia. *Antiquity* 77: 9–14. 387